

No ^{182}W evidence for early Moon formation

Thomas S. Kruijer^{1,2}, Gregory J. Archer³, and Thorsten Kleine³

¹Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Berlin, Germany.

²Institute für Geologische Wissenschaften, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany.

³Institut für Planetologie, University of Münster, Münster, Germany.

Arising from M. Thiemens et al. (2019) Nature Geoscience 12, 696-700.

The Moon-forming giant impact was probably the last major event in Earth's accretion, so dating this event is critical for determining the timeline of terrestrial planet formation. Recently, Thiemens et al.¹ used the short-lived ^{182}Hf - ^{182}W system to argue that the Moon formed within the first 60 million years (Myr) of solar system history. Here we demonstrate, however, that mixing processes during and after the giant impact modified the ^{182}W compositions of the Earth and Moon, hampering the use of the Hf-W system to date the Moon. Our results show that the lunar ^{182}W record is fully consistent with a recently determined, younger age of the Moon of 142 ± 25 Myr after solar system formation².

Thiemens et al.¹ argued that the +26 parts-per-million (ppm) ^{182}W excess of the Moon over the present-day bulk silicate Earth (BSE)³⁻⁵ must reflect decay of short-lived ^{182}Hf (half-life = 8.9 Myr), because the lunar mantle has a higher Hf/W ratio than the BSE. However, two conditions must be met in order to reliably use the Hf-W system to date Moon formation: (1) the BSE and Moon must have had the same initial ^{182}W composition, and (2) the ^{182}W compositions of the lunar and terrestrial mantles were not modified by processes other than *in-situ* ^{182}Hf -decay. However, mixing processes during the giant impact and subsequent 'late accretion' to the lunar and terrestrial mantles very likely modified ^{182}W compositions^{3,4}. Thus, only because the Hf/W ratios of the lunar and terrestrial mantles are different, there is no reason to assume that their present-day ^{182}W difference is purely radiogenic. Surprisingly though, Thiemens et al.¹ used the *present-day* $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ difference between the BSE and Moon to date the giant impact, and they did not evaluate whether the two aforementioned conditions are met.

Late accretion (the addition of broadly chondritic material to planetary mantles following core formation) can account for the highly siderophile element (HSE) budget of the BSE. Late accretion also occurred on other planetary bodies, and may be viewed as a natural stage of planetary formation, where leftover planetesimals continued to bombard planets⁶. The mass of late-accreted material is generally estimated from mantle HSE abundances and, in the case of Earth, corresponds to $(4.8 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$ Earth masses (M_{\oplus})⁶. Mass balance indicates that late accretion of this mass lowered the BSE's $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ (the ppm deviation from the terrestrial standard), where the exact effect depends on (1) the BSE's W concentration, (2) the chemical and ^{182}W composition of the late-accreted material, and (3) the late-accreted mass. All these parameters have inherent uncertainties, which propagate into the final uncertainty on the BSE's calculated pre-late accretion $\mu^{182}\text{W}$. Taking these uncertainties into account reveals that this value is likely between ~ 10 and ~ 40 ppm (Fig. 1). The blue-shaded area in Figure 1 indicates the uncertainty introduced solely by the uncertainty on the BSE's W concentration (12 ± 4 ng/g, ref. 7), demonstrating that even if the mass and composition of the late-accreted material were known exactly, significant uncertainty on the BSE's pre-late accretion $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ remains. For completeness we emphasize that this conclusion, and the inferred pre-late accretion $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ of BSE, is entirely independent of whether $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ anomalies in some terrestrial rocks reflect late accretion^{8,9} or early Earth differentiation¹⁰.

The HSE-derived late-accreted mass for the Moon is about three orders of magnitude lower than for Earth, and so the resulting effect on the lunar $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ was much smaller (~ 1 ppm)³⁻⁵. However, the Moon's late-accreted mass may have been ~ 10 times larger than the HSE-derived estimate, because some late accretion-derived HSE were removed to the lunar core¹¹. It has also been argued that due to megaregolith contamination of lunar samples, HSEs provide no firm estimate on the amount of late-accreted material on the Moon¹². Consequently, to better assess the effect of late accretion on the lunar $\mu^{182}\text{W}$, it is useful to estimate the Moon's late-accreted mass *independent* of HSE measurements. A theoretical upper bound of the Moon's late-accreted mass may be obtained from the Earth/Moon impact flux ratio, which is ~ 20 (ref. 11). Using this ratio, and Earth's late-accreted mass of $\sim 4.8 \times 10^{-3} M_{\oplus}$, results in an expected impact flux to the Moon of $\sim 2.4 \times 10^{-4} M_{\oplus}$. However, for the Moon the impactor retention ratio (the fraction of impactor material remaining on the target) is only ~ 0.2 , much lower than for Earth, which is commonly assumed to retain the impactor material completely¹³. Thus, of the putative $\sim 2.4 \times 10^{-4} M_{\oplus}$ impacting the Moon, only $\sim 4.8 \times 10^{-5} M_{\oplus}$ would be accreted. Moreover, the Earth/Moon impact flux ratio was likely larger than 20, because Earth samples the large-size end of the projectile distribution more efficiently than the Moon¹¹. For instance, for an asteroid-like size distribution of the projectiles the Earth/Moon impact flux ratio would be ~ 100 (ref. 11), resulting in a lower estimated late-accreted mass for the Moon of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-5} M_{\oplus}$. We, therefore, conclude that while $4.8 \times 10^{-5} M_{\oplus}$ is a strict upper limit for the Moon's late-accreted mass, this mass was likely smaller.

Using these estimates, the calculated $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ difference between the pre-late accretion BSE and Moon overlap for the entire range of possible late-accreted masses on the Moon (Fig. 2). Consequently, the inherent uncertainties on the effect of late accretion on $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ make it impossible to confidently resolve a pre-late accretion $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ difference between the BSE and

Moon. As such, there is no resolved radiogenic $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ excess in the Moon and, therefore, no firm evidence for formation of the Moon during the lifetime of ^{182}Hf .

For the sake of argument, let us now assume that the Moon *has* a pre-late accretion $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ excess over the BSE. This putative $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ excess would then most likely be inherited from the giant impactor, because mixing of the giant impactor's mantle and core with proto-Earth's mantle is expected to have led to a $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ excess in the Moon for a wide range of impact parameters and compositions^{3,14}. Note that the Earth-Moon isotopic similarity for elements such as O, Cr, and Ti by no means implies that the BSE and Moon also had the same initial ^{182}W composition^{3,14}. The reason for this is that ^{182}W variations reflect the timing and mechanism of core formation, whereas O-Cr-Ti isotopes reflect the source region of an object. Thus, even if the Moon formed entirely from proto-Earth's mantle or if the impactor derives from the same nebula feeding zone as Earth—both of which would naturally account for the Earth-Moon isotopic similarity for elements such as O, Cr, and Ti—the Moon would still be expected to have a ^{182}W excess. This is because the Moon and BSE inevitably contain different proportions of impactor mantle, impactor core, and proto-Earth mantle, all of which had distinct ^{182}W compositions^{3,14}. It may be possible that the Earth-Moon ^{182}W difference was erased during post-giant impact equilibration, but the efficiency of this process for W, and whether it would have homogenized ^{182}W at the <10 ppm level, is unknown^{14,15}.

We conclude that two crucial requirements for placing Moon formation within the ~60 Myr lifetime of ^{182}Hf are not fulfilled. First, the essential requirement of initial $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ homogeneity may not be a given, because mixing of proto-Earth and impactor material during the giant impact led to distinct initial ^{182}W compositions of the Moon and BSE. Second, the pre-late accretion ^{182}W compositions of the Moon and BSE overlap within uncertainty, and hence there is no resolved radiogenic ^{182}W difference between the BSE and the Moon. The Hf-W systematics are, therefore, consistent with the recently determined 'young' age of the Moon of 142 ± 25 Myr age after solar system formation², well after the effective lifetime of ^{182}Hf .

References:

1. Thiemens, M. M., Sprung, P., Fonseca, R. O. C., Leitzke, F. P. & Münker, C. Early Moon formation inferred from hafnium–tungsten systematics. *Nature Geosci.* 12, 696–700 (2019).
2. Maurice, M., Tosi, N., Schwinger, S., Breuer, D. & Kleine, T. A long-lived magma ocean on a young Moon. *Science Advances* 6, eaba8949 (2020).
3. Kruijjer, T. S. & Kleine, T. Tungsten isotopes and the origin of the Moon. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 475, 15–24 (2017).
4. Kruijjer, T. S., Kleine, T., Fischer-Gödde, M. & Sprung, P. Lunar tungsten isotopic evidence for the late veneer. *Nature* 520, 534–537 (2015).
5. Touboul, M., Puchtel, I. S. & Walker, R. J. Tungsten isotopic evidence for disproportional late accretion to the Earth and Moon. *Nature* 520, 530–533 (2015).
6. Jacobson, S. A. *et al.* Highly siderophile elements in Earth's mantle as a clock for the Moon-forming impact. *Nature* 508, 84 (2014).
7. Palme, H. & O'Neill, H. S. C. in *Treatise on Geochemistry (Second Edition)* (eds Heinrich D. Holland & Karl K. Turekian) 1–39 (Elsevier, 2014).

8. Archer, G. J. *et al.* Lack of late-accreted material as the origin of ^{182}W excesses in the Archean mantle: Evidence from the Pilbara Craton, Western Australia. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 528, 115841 (2019).
9. Willbold, M., Elliott, T. & Moorbath, S. The tungsten isotopic composition of the Earth's mantle before the terminal bombardment. *Nature* 477, 195-199 (2011).
10. Touboul, M., Puchtel, I. S. & Walker, R. J. W-182 Evidence for Long-Term Preservation of Early Mantle Differentiation Products. *Science* 335, 1065-1069 (2012).
11. Morbidelli, A. *et al.* The timeline of the lunar bombardment: Revisited. *Icarus* 305, 262-276 (2018).
12. Brenan, J. M., Mungall, J. E. & Bennett, N. R. Abundance of highly siderophile elements in lunar basalts controlled by iron sulfide melt. *Nature Geosci.* 12, 701-706 (2019).
13. Zhu, M.-H. *et al.* Reconstructing the late accretion history of the Moon. *Nature* 571, 226-229 (2019).
14. Fischer, R. A., Zube, N. G. & Nimmo, F. The origin of the Moon's Earth-like tungsten isotopic composition from dynamical and geochemical modeling. *Nature Communications* 12, 35 (2021).
15. Lock, S. J. *et al.* The Origin of the Moon Within a Terrestrial Synestia. *J. Geophys. Res.* 123, 910-951 (2018).

Acknowledgements: Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 263649064 – TRR 170. This is TRR 170 pub. no. XX.

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1: Effect of late accretion on the BSE's $\mu^{182}\text{W}$. Blue shaded area shows uncertainty on the BSE's pre-late accretion $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ that solely arises from the uncertainty on the BSE's W concentration (12 ± 4 ppb); for the mass ($4.8\times 10^{-3} M_{\oplus}$) and composition of the late-accreted material ($W = 150$ ppb, $\mu^{182}\text{W} = -190$) fixed average values were used. Yellow area shows additional uncertainty arising from uncertainties in the late-accreted mass [$(4.8\pm 1.6)\times 10^{-3} M_{\oplus}$]. When also including the uncertainty on the composition of the late-accreted material (see Fig. 2), the BSE's pre-late accretion $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ may be as high as $\sim +50$ ppm. The $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ of the Moon is shown at its HSE-derived late-accreted mass fraction, and plots well within the range of calculated pre-late veneer ^{182}W compositions of the BSE.

Fig. 2: Calculated $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ difference between the Moon and the BSE prior to late accretion as a function of the late-accreted mass added to the Moon. Pre-late accretion $\mu^{182}\text{W}$ values were calculated by mass balance using a Monte Carlo approach, and assume 12 ± 4 ppb W in the BSE and the lunar mantle (varied independently), a late-accreted mass on Earth of $(4.8\pm 1.6)\times 10^{-3} M_{\oplus}$, a maximum late-accreted mass of $4.8\times 10^{-5} M_{\oplus}$ on the Moon (see text for details), a chondritic composition of the late-accreted material ($\mu^{182}\text{W} = -190\pm 10$; $W = 150\pm 50$ ppb), and a present-day lunar $\mu^{182}\text{W} = 26\pm 3$. Blue dots represent individual trials in the Monte Carlo simulation, where the parameters were varied randomly within their respective bounds.